Effect of Regioisomerism on the Local Dynamics of Polychlorostyrene
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ABSTRACT: Relaxation properties of three isomers of atactic polychlorostyrene, having the chlorine atom in the ortho, meta, and para positions (P2CS, P3CS, and P4CS, respectively), were measured by mechanical and dielectric spectroscopies and modulated scanning calorimetry. For the \( \alpha \) relaxation, the more spatially extended pendant group in P4CS gives rise to steric constraints on the segmental dynamics that cause both the temperature dependence of its relaxation time (i.e., the fragility) and the number of dynamically correlated segments to be largest. For the secondary \( \beta \)-relaxation in P4CS, the chlorophenyl moiety can rotate (spin) without involving the backbone; that is, the motion involves internal degrees of freedom. Consequently, this secondary relaxation is high in frequency and has a weak relaxation strength, especially as measured dielectrically. On the other hand, the pendant group in P2CS cannot reorient without adjustments in position of the backbone segments, causing its \( \beta \) relaxation strength measured mechanically to be the largest. Since all atoms in the repeat unit for P2CS participate in the secondary dynamics, it is classified as a Johari–Goldstein (JG) process. As generally found for JG relaxations, the behavior of the \( \beta \) relaxation in P2CS is affected by the density changes accompanying physical aging.

INTRODUCTION

Although chain motions and segmental relaxation are of primary interest in unraveling structure–property relations in polymers, the secondary dynamics are also important, through both their potential influence on physical properties and their putative connection to the glass transition. Polymers can exhibit myriad secondary motions, particularly when polyatomic pendant groups are present. In their classic text, McCrum, Reed, and Williams described the different dynamics that underlie polymer secondary relaxations, including pendant group reorientations and (largely discredited) crankshaft motions within the chain backbone. Unique among secondary relaxations is the Johari–Goldstein (JG) relaxation, which is universal in glass-forming substances. The JG process involves reorientation of the entire molecule or repeat unit in a polymer, at frequencies intermediate between the slow primary \( \alpha \) relaxation (segmental dynamics) and any secondary relaxations involving intramolecular degrees of freedom. Much attention has focused on the JG relaxation to investigate any role in structural relaxation. The properties of the JG relaxation include (i) merging with the \( \alpha \) process at high temperatures, (ii) changing behavior when the temperature of the material goes through the glass transition temperature \( T_g \), (iii) a separation in frequency from the \( \alpha \) relaxation that is correlated with the breadth of the \( \alpha \) dispersion, and (iv) sensitivity to density changes, brought about by either pressure or physical aging. Note some non-JG processes display at least some of these same properties.

The seminal papers reporting JG motion in several molecular liquids included data on chlorobenzene. The experiments on this rigid molecule confirmed the existence of relaxation even in molecules in the glassy state that do not have internal modes of motion. In the present work we study dielectric relaxation of chlorobenzene tethered to a polyethylene backbone, the structure corresponding to one of the three positional isomers of atactic polychlorostyrene. The dipole moment of the backbone is negligible compared to that of the chlorostyrene, so the dielectric measurements probe the dynamics of the polar side group. If rotation of the pendant group entails motion of the chain segment, this probe senses the \( \alpha \) and JG relaxations. However, if the benzene ring can orient independently of the main chain, the motion corresponds to non-JG dynamics. In the present work we find that the nature of the secondary relaxations, including both their amplitude and rate, in regioisomers of poly(4-chlorostyrene) depends on the position of the chlorine atom on the phenyl ring. For P4CS the pendant group reorientation proceeds independently, without involving motion of the polymer backbone. In contrast, angular displacement of the 2-chlorostyrene is coupled to rotation about the main chain bonds, so that the changes in polarization are manifested as a JG process. The constraints on the pendant group in P3CS are intermediate, and whether its secondary relaxation is a JG process cannot be determined from the available data.

The number of dynamically correlated segments were estimated for the polymers both from the dielectric data and...
using modulated DSC; the results were trendwise equivalent. We also measured mechanical spectroscopy on the polychlorostyrenes. Although the dynamics underlying the mechanical loss are essentially those governing the dielectric response, the mechanical relaxation strength does not depend on the dipole moment of the chlorobenzene ring, but rather on the magnitude of local strain induced by motion of this group. The results of the present study show how subtle changes in chemical structure can effect large changes in the dynamic properties of polymer glasses.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

The polymers studied herein were poly(2-chlorostyrene) (P2CS), poly(3-chlorostyrene) (P3CS), and poly(4-chlorostyrene) (P4CS), all atactic, with the respective repeat units shown in Figure 1. The materials were obtained from Scientific Polymer Products and used as received. The molecular weights determined from light scattering were all in excess of 80 kg/mol, ensuring asymptotic behavior of the segmental dynamics.

Differential scanning calorimetry was conducted with a TA Q100, using a scanning rate of 10 K/min. For modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC) the rate was 0.5 K/min, with four modulation periods (120, 90, 60, and 40 s) and a modulation amplitude of 1 K. Rheological measurements employed an Anton Parr MCR 502, using a parallel plate geometry (diameter = 8 mm). Samples were molded under vacuum and maintained in a nitrogen atmosphere during the measurements. Broadband dielectric spectra were measured with a Novocontrol impedance analyzer. The samples were pressed above $T_g$ between two parallel plates (diameter = 16 mm) separated by 100 μm Teflon spacers. During the experiment the sample was under vacuum, with temperature controlled with a closed cycle helium cryostat.

**RESULTS**

**Mechanical Spectroscopy.** Representative dynamic loss modulus spectra are shown in Figure 2 for P2CS. There is an intense peak corresponding to segmental relaxation at temperatures in the range from ca. 389 to 405 K. Defining a mechanical glass transition as the temperature at which $\tau_a = 100$ s yields the values listed in Table 1 for P2CS and the other two isomers. The differences in $T_g$ are consistent with those measured by calorimetry (Table 1), although the latter are several degrees higher. The segmental loss peaks for the three isomers are compared in Figure 3 for temperatures at which the $\tau_a$ are the same ($\approx 3$ s). The dispersion for P2CS is substantially broader, with the peak described by the Kohlrausch function

$$G''(\omega) = \Delta G_{kww} \left[ -\frac{d\varphi(t, \tau_a)}{dt} \right]$$

with $\varphi(t, \tau_a) = \exp[-(t/\tau_a)^\beta]$ (eq 1)

where $L_{kww}$ indicates the Laplace transform. Fitting the $\alpha$ peak for P2CS yields $\beta_{KWW} = 0.29$, whereas for P4CS $\beta_{KWW} = 0.39$. The loss peak for the P3CS is narrow on the low frequency side but broadens significantly toward higher frequencies due to a partially overlapping secondary peak. This can be seen more clearly in Figure 4, showing the loss modulus for P3CS at temperatures through $T_g$. At the highest temperature (within 0.1 K of the calorimetric $T_g$) the segmental relaxation time determined from the peak frequency is 11 s. At all lower temperatures in Figure 4, the maximum of the segmental peak falls at frequencies lower than experimentally measured, with the weak $\beta$-relaxation emerging as a distinct peak in the glassy state. The other two polymers show similar behavior. The $\beta$-
peak is much broader than the \( \alpha \)-relaxation, with the Cole–Cole relaxation function used to fit the former

\[
G^*(\omega) = \frac{\Delta G_{f}}{1 + (i\omega\tau_{f})^{1-\alpha_{CC}}}
\]

(Dielectric Spectroscopy). Representative dielectric loss peaks are shown in Figure 5 for the three isomers, superposed on the corresponding mechanical loss peaks. The shapes are comparable, recognizing there is an influence from the secondary relaxation and ionic conductivity. Similar to the mechanical spectra, for all three isomers a broad \( \beta \) relaxation is apparent below \( T \). The analysis of the dielectric spectra was done similarly to the mechanical results, using a linear superposition of a KWW function for the \( \alpha \) relaxation and a CC function for the \( \beta \) process.

\[
\varepsilon^{\alpha}(\omega) = \Delta \varepsilon_{f} T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \left[ 1 - \frac{\tau_{\alpha}}{\tau_{f}} \right] + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}}{1 + (i\omega\tau_{\beta})^{1-\alpha_{CC}}}
\]

where \( \alpha_{CC} \) is the dc conductivity due to mobile ions.

The relaxation strength of the two processes is strongly dependent on the structure of the repeat unit (Table 2). P3CS has the largest dielectric strength, while P4CS has the smallest \( \Delta \varepsilon_{\beta} \) and P2CS the smallest \( \Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha} \). If \( \Delta \varepsilon_{i} \) were proportional to the component of the dipole moment perpendicular to the chain, it would be largest for P4CS and comparable for P2CS and P3CS. This is not the case, however, because the dipole in P4CS reorients by rotation about a bond tethering it to the backbone, and such motion does not change the transverse dipole moment. In contrast, the reorientation of the chlorostyrene moiety in the other isomers effects a significant change in the dipole moment. For P2CS the proximity of the chlorobenzene group to the chain causes steric hindrance that restricts rotation around the C–C bond. Note that the mechanical relaxation strengths (Table 2) provide a direct indication of this coupling, with the values for the three polymers falling in order according to the relative degree of constraint from backbone on motion of the side group. These interactions are reflected in the breadths of the \( \alpha \) peaks in the dielectric and mechanical spectra (Table 2). Since the \( \beta \) process in P4CS is noncooperative, we expect that there should be another secondary relaxation, the JG process, between the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) peaks. However, none is apparent in Figure 6, suggesting it is too weak or unresolved.

Table 2. Kohlrausch Exponents and Relaxation Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \beta )-relaxation strength ( (T_{\beta}^\alpha) )</th>
<th>( \alpha )-relaxation strength ( (T_{\alpha}) )</th>
<th>( \beta_{KWW} ) ( (T_{\beta}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mech</td>
<td>diel</td>
<td>mech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2CS</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3CS</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4CS</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^b \)Extrapolated from lower temperatures. \( ^b \)Fit to convoluted \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) peaks.

The \( \varepsilon^{\alpha}(\omega) = \varepsilon^*(\omega) = \varepsilon^{\alpha}(t, t_{age}) = \alpha \exp \left[ -\frac{t_{age}}{t_{\alpha}} \right]^{\alpha_{K}} + b
\]

where \( b \) is the \( \varepsilon^{\alpha} \) long time (equilibrium) value and \( a \) is a constant. The fit to the data in Figure 7 yields for the aging time constant, \( t_{age} = (2.1 ± 0.3) \times 10^{6} \) s, a result independent of the frequency used for the analysis. As shown in earlier work, \( \tau_{age} \) reflects the value of \( \tau_{\alpha} \) in the glassy state, and the

\[
\varepsilon^{\alpha}(\omega) = \Delta \varepsilon_{f} T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \left[ 1 - \frac{\tau_{\alpha}}{\tau_{f}} \right] + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}}{1 + (i\omega\tau_{\beta})^{1-\alpha_{CC}}}
\]
aging time determined herein for P2CS is consistent with the \( \tau_a \) measured directly above \( T_g \) (Figure 8).

Relaxation Map. In Figure 8 the segmental and secondary relaxation times for the three isomers measured by the two spectroscopies are plotted versus reciprocal temperature. The \( \tau_a \) all conform to the Vogel–Fulcher (VF) equation for \( T > T_g \). Segmental relaxation times are also shown for P4CS in the glassy state; these were estimated by fitting the spectra on the high frequency side, with the assumption that \( \beta_{KWW} \) and \( \Delta \varepsilon_\alpha \) are constant and equal to their values at \( T_g \). The reduction in sensitivity to temperature below \( T_g \) seen in Figure 8 is common,\textsuperscript{23,25–27} a result of the weaker volume changes (smaller thermal expansion coefficient) for the glass.

Comparing apparent activation energies at \( T_g \) P4CS is the most fragile (largest steepness index). The inference is that its larger cross-section sweeps out more volume, causing stronger intermolecular cooperativity for the motion. However, this is not associated with a broader dispersion; the loss peak for P4CS is the narrowest of the three isomers. This disconnect between temperature sensitivity and peak breadth is unusual.\textsuperscript{28}

An alternative point of view is that the position of the Cl in P4CS limits the number of available configurations, resulting in a narrower distribution of relaxation times and hence a narrower \( \alpha \) peak. In an entropy interpretation of the glass transition,\textsuperscript{29–32} the narrower spectra for P4CS are consistent with a smaller combination of motions being necessary to allow relaxation. In the case of P2CS the strong interaction between the side group and the backbone constrains the secondary dynamics, giving rise to smaller differences between \( \tau_\beta \) and \( \tau_\alpha \); this interaction can also be used to rationalize its fragility.\textsuperscript{22,33}

All \( \tau_\beta \) in the glassy state show Arrhenius behavior, with the activation energies listed in Table 3; mechanical and dielectric results are in good agreement. For P2CS the \( \tau_\beta \) is more than 2 decades closer to \( \tau_\alpha \) than for the other polymers, a consequence of the coupling of the side group and backbone motions. For P3CS and P4CS, the separation in time scale between the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) processes is about the same, ca. 3 decades. For P3CS, the only sample for which measurements could be obtained close to \( T_g \), the dielectric relaxation times show a change in activation energy around \( \tau_\alpha \approx 100 \) s. This behavior has been seen previously in JG relaxations in glass-forming liquids\textsuperscript{34} and taken to suggest that the JG secondary relaxation “senses” structural
relaxation. However, similar behavior was observed for some non-JG secondary relaxations as well.18 For P4CS the activation energy for the secondary relaxation is surprisingly large, considering the facile motion underlying it. An empirical correlation has been deduced between the JG activation energy and the glass transition temperature, \( E_\beta \approx 24RT_g \), \(^{35,36}\) P2CS conforms well to this relation: \( E_\beta / RT_g = 23.3 \pm 1 \) and 25 \( \pm 3 \) for the dielectric and mechanical data, respectively. However, for P4CS, \( E_\beta / RT_g = 43 \pm 3 \) (dielectric) and 36 \( \pm 3 \) (mechanical), supporting our identification of the \( \beta \) relaxation as a non-JG process. For P3CS the values are 33.3 \( \pm 1 \) (dielectric) and 31 \( \pm 2 \) (mechanical), suggesting an intermediate character for its secondary motion.

**MDSC Measurements.** In Figure 9 are shown the real, \( c''_p \), and imaginary, \( c'_p \), components of the heat capacity for the three polymers, measured with a modulation period of \( T_m = 40 \) s. The heat capacities were calculated after correcting for the change in heat capacity at the glass transition.\(^{37,38}\) The breadth of the peak in isothermal calorimetric measurements makes the spectra narrower. The dynamics of supercooled liquids is spatially heterogeneous, with an increasing dynamic correlation length with decreasing temperature or increasing density. A number of methods have been proposed to quantify the number of correlating units (atoms, molecules, or chain segments) from experimental data. An equation due to Donth\(^{40}\) gives this number as

\[
N_c = \frac{RT^2}{m_0(\delta T)^2} \Delta(c_p^{-1})
\]

(6)

where \( m_0 \) is the molecular weight of the relaxing unit, and \( \delta T \) can be determined from the \( c''_p \) as discussed above. Taking \( m_0 = 138.49 \) (molecular weight of the repeat unit), we calculate for \( \delta T = 14 \) s values of \( N_c \) in the range from 58 for P3CS to 120 for P4CS (Table 4). More recently Berthier et al.\(^{41,42}\) derived the equation

\[
N_c = \frac{R}{\Delta c_p} T^2 (\max_\tau \chi c(t))^2
\]

(7)

**Figure 10.** Relaxation map including the MDSC data. Square are P4CS, triangles P2CS, and circles P3CS. Solid symbol = dielectric; open symbols = mechanical; half-filled symbols = MDSC.
where $\chi_T$ is the time derivative of the relevant correlation function. For a stretched exponential form (eq 3), eq 7 reduces to

$$N_1 = \frac{R}{\Delta \varepsilon \rho_0} \left( \frac{\beta \varepsilon \omega}{\varepsilon} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \ln \tau_\beta}{\partial \ln T} \right)^2$$

(8)

where $\varepsilon$ is Euler's number. $N_1$ from eq 8 differs from eq 6 by the factor 6.45$\Delta_c \Delta(T_c - T)$. The values calculated using eq 6 from the MDSC measurements (Table 4) are $\sim$5 times higher than those from eq 8 but have the same trend with chemical structure. (Note that both eqs 6 and 8 are only approximate.) It is tempting to ascribe the difference in correlation lengths for the three polymers to aspects of their respective chemical structures; however, the cooperative dynamics in these dense, interacting materials are too complex for any facile relationship to be deduced.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The simple liquid chlorobenzene is a classic example of a material exhibiting a Jöhari–Goldstein relaxation. When attached to a polymer chain, this motion involves the repeat unit of the chain backbone only if there are steric interferences sufficient to couple the motion of the side group. This is the case for P2CS, and its secondary relaxation is a JG process. Consequently, the mechanical relaxation strength for the secondary relaxation in P2CS is large, the activation energy has the expected value, and the $\tau_\beta$ are sensitive to volume changes, as expected, for example, by physical aging. P4CS represents the opposite case. The phenyl group is free to rotate without involving the main chain segments, and thus the prominent secondary peak is not a JG process. The secondary relaxation of P4CS falls at higher frequencies and, since the spinning motion does not alter the dipole moment, has a low dielectric strength. The activation energy for the secondary relaxation in P4CS is much larger than expected from an empirical correlation for JG processes. P3CS is an intermediate case, the side group experiencing steric constraints that are weaker than those for P2CS and the $\beta$ activation energy deviating from the correlation. A definitive assignment of its secondary relaxation cannot be made; molecule dynamics simulations would be useful in this regard.

Properties of the local segmental dynamics ($\alpha$ relaxation) were also measured for the materials herein. P4CS has the most spatially extended pendant group and the largest fragility; this is consistent with larger local configurational adjustments being necessary to accommodate the segmental motion. This isomer also has the largest $N_1$. There is no correspondence between the breadth of the $\alpha$ dispersions and the fragility, possible due to the contribution to the former of an overlapping secondary relaxation.
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